



Port of Clarkston

Parks and Recreation Plan

June 2021



Table of Contents

Section 1: Introduction

Mission Statement	1
Recreational Vision	1
Core Values	1
Overview	1
Purpose of This Plan	2
Planning Process	2
Community Profile	3

Section 2: Demand and Needs Assessment

Introduction	5
Survey Feedback	5
Park Hierarchy & Standards – Framework for Needs Identification	7
Inventory of Existing Facilities	8
Miscellaneous Public Feedback	11

Section 3: Goals and Objectives

Goals	13
Objectives	13

Section 4: Implementation Plan including Capital Improvement Plan

Key Priorities	14
Capital Improvement Matrix	15

Appendices for Main Report

Appendix A: Survey Results	
Scored responses (Part 1)	
Comments (Part 2)	
Appendix B: Community Demographics	
Appendix C: Evidence of Public Participation	

Supplement – Rivershore Land Use Plan

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

MISSION STATEMENT

It is the mission of the Port of Clarkston to fully use its statutory authority to:

- Deliver property, facilities, and infrastructure that encourage private investment, create wealth for constituents, create family wage jobs, diversify and stabilize the local economy, and create a sustainable environment;
- Play a leadership role in ensuring that waterfronts are vibrant, active centerpieces for the communities in the locality and partner on improving other tourism and recreation opportunities;
- Preserve and better the quality of life for the citizens of Asotin County.

RECREATIONAL VISION

In the context of achieving economic development goals, the Port of Clarkston also works in partnership with the community to provide appropriate public recreational amenities.

CORE VALUES

The Port of Clarkston recognizes these core values, beliefs, attitudes and behavior: personal safety, sense of community/neighborliness, good health, financial security, having control over essential needs, having time/saving time, the importance of children and families, the importance of helping people in need, intergenerational responsibility, and the expression of values.

OVERVIEW

The geographical jurisdiction of the Port of Clarkston is Asotin County. The Port of Clarkston was created in 1958 to improve employment in Asotin County. The Port's primary mandate is job creation. Creating and maintaining recreational facilities, while secondary, is important as well. Since 2004, the Port has been operating under a parks and recreation plan accepted by the Recreation and Conservation Office that was essentially the Port of Clarkston's Comprehensive Plan required under Chapter 53 of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW 53.20.10) as a legal framework for Port operations.

The Port's Comprehensive Plan was created in 2001 and updated in 2004 and 2005. The parks and recreation component did not provide a lot of detail. Therefore, this Parks and Recreation Plan began as a stand-alone report, rather than an update of the previous plan. It is anticipated that this plan will become a fully approved appendix to a revised Port of Clarkston Comprehensive Plan to be completed within the next 18 months.

Because the Port of Clarkston and Asotin County share the same jurisdictional boundaries, the Port has relied heavily on the Asotin County Parks and Recreation Plan adopted March 2007.

PURPOSE OF THIS PLAN

Parks and recreation services contribute to our landscape, our health, our community and our overall quality of life. Resources are needed to provide parks and recreation services, and because the Port's resources are limited, the Port must plan ahead for their use.

The purpose of this Plan is to provide a tool for existing and future public officials, staff and private citizens to plan for future recreational needs of the properties within the Port of Clarkston's jurisdiction. Development of recreational potential by the Port will result in progress on its overall mission, with primary focus on the quality of life component. Recreational projects undertaken by the Port will preserve and enhance the quality of life that makes Asotin County a special place to live, work, and visit.

This Plan offers an inventory of existing parks and recreation facilities and programs throughout Asotin County, discussion of key issues—primarily those on which the Port would most logically take leadership roles, and recommendations involving those facets of public access and enjoyment of the natural environment that are in keeping with the Port's overall mission.

The specific elements of this Plan include:

- Goals (broad statements of intent),
- Objectives (measures that describe when these intents will be attained),
- An Inventory of facilities, lands, programs and their conditions,
- A description of the Public Involvement process used to create this plan,
- An assessment of the community's recreation and facilities needs that are within the Port's jurisdiction, including:
 - Summaries of the public feedback received
 - Discussion of specific projects requiring Port leadership
 - Prioritization of the projects
 - An explanation of criteria used to prioritize projects
 - Discussion of projects that would require community partnership,
- Capital Improvement Programs, and,
- Evidence of formal adoption of this Plan by the Port of Clarkston.

PLANNING PROCESS

Overview: The Port of Clarkston tapped its standing Planning and Architectural Committee to guide the development of this Plan. There are currently six community volunteers of varied backgrounds who serve on this committee: Don McQuary, Jill Eckberg, Art Seamans, Corrie Rosetti, Gary Budd, and Dick Flerchinger. They have provided input to and assisted in obtaining public feedback and have reviewed and approved the report presented to the Port of Clarkston for adoption.

Port staff provided support to the Planning and Architectural Committee throughout this process, up to and including drafting the final report.

Public Involvement: The general public was invited to participate through the following:

- **On-line surveys** distributed using Survey Monkey, with invitations issued through 8 periodically scheduled newspaper ads distributed over a period of one month, plus on-going advertisement for Port's website in the "Sightings on the Web" monthly community publication.
- **Written surveys** stuffed into water bills distributed by the City of Asotin and Asotin County PUD (over 7,000 written surveys distributed); respondents then returned the completed surveys when they paid their bills.
- **On-site Surveys** completed at Clarkston's May 5 Alive After Five, (a monthly street fair) where staff were available for discussion or to answer questions.
- **Public hearings:** Participation at either of the two advertised public hearings conducted by Port officials on May 6, 2010 and June 9, 2010.

A full copy of the analytical report and a report listing anonymous comments, along with exact survey questions, are included in Appendix A. Appendix C documents the opportunities for public involvement.

On-going Feedback: The Port invites feedback from the general public on an on-going basis. The three-member Board of Commissioners meets each month. The meetings are publicized and open to the public. A standing meeting agenda item is "Comments from the Public."

COMMUNITY PROFILE

Asotin County¹ is located in the farthest southeast corner of the State of Washington and shares a boundary with Idaho on the east side and with Oregon on the south side.




The entire eastern and half of the northern boundaries of Asotin County are defined by the Snake River. What is unusual about Clarkston is that it provides year-round urban access to the Snake River. Access to the Snake River is difficult. The major roadway, Highway 12, touches the Snake River where it empties into the Columbia River at Burbank, WA, but going east does not again connect with the Snake River until Chief Timothy Island near Clarkston. Outside of Asotin County, some recreational amenities exist along the Snake, but they are in remote, unpopulated locations.

¹ The Port of Clarkston's jurisdictional boundary is identical to the geographical boundaries of Asotin County.



The Snake River takes a turn at the northeast corner of Asotin County and then continues for 18 miles south to Rogersburg, providing recreationists with river access first along Highway 129 to Asotin, and then along Snake River Road.



Demographic data relating to residents of Asotin County are located at the present time in Appendix B. This data will later be located in the larger Port of Clarkston Comprehensive Plan, to which this report will be appended.

The population of the county, according to regional economist Kathryn Tacke, in 2008 was 21,420. The county, including the incorporated cities of Asotin and Clarkston, was identified in the 2000 census as a Metropolitan Statistical Area, when combined with the City of Lewiston and Nez Perce County. Asotin County, however, has not met the population requirements of Washington State’s Growth Management Act (GMA), and “opted out” for GMA planning purposes.

SECTION 2: DEMAND AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT

INTRODUCTION:

There is no exact science for determining the level of need for parks and recreation services. Some park standards were identified by Asotin County in 2007 and are discussed below. The Port's role in satisfying the demand and meeting the community's needs are limited because those activities must take place within the Port District mandates for Washington State, defined in Chapter 53 of the Revised Code of Washington.

As a result, the survey instrument was designed to gather information on projects for which the Port of Clarkston would have 100% responsibility. Feedback was not limited however, to just those questions, because survey respondents were encouraged to make comments in open ended questions, and they did so.

This Section is organized into a summary of the feedback received on the scored portion of the survey, a comparison of the existing inventory to the National Recreation and Parks Standards used by Asotin County in its Parks and Recreation Plan (to assure consistency for what is essentially the same community), and lastly a listing of the comments on the open-ended questions of the survey.

SURVEY FEEDBACK:

The Port received 709 responses to its on-line, on-site, and mailed out surveys. The following describes conclusions and actual scoring of projects. Appendix A provides significant details regarding the results of the survey.

Summary of Conclusions:

Whether using the straight rankings or analyzing by removing the neutral responses, the results are the same. People scored Questions 1 - 7 in descending order. The only issue more people disagreed upon than agreed upon was not recreational but a larger planning issue (residential development near the riverfront).

- 1) Projects listed on the survey broke out into two categories:
 - a) Those near the riverfront (which included park, path lighting, more sidewalks and bike paths) scored consistently high.
 - b) The project off the riverfront, while still approved by respondents, scored less well, and deserves a lower assignment of priority.
- 2) 91.6% of respondents identifying where they live reside in Asotin County.
- 3) Over 90% of the respondents come to north Clarkston near the riverfront regularly, but most identify shopping as the main activity drawing them close.
- 4) Nearly 80% of the respondents engage in active (recreational) activities while near the riverfront.
- 5) Of those engaging in active activities, walking is most popular, followed by boating, and then bicycling.
- 6)

	Strongly Agree+Agree	Disagree+Strongly Disagree	Difference	Rating Average
#1	67.0	1.9		
Public	16.2	6.7		
access	83.2	8.6	74.6	4.35
#2	51.7	5.7		
Balance	21.4	7.0		
access w ED	73.1	12.7	60.4	4.05
#3	38.5	5.6		
Granite	24.7	10.3		
Lake Park	63.2	15.9	47.3	3.75
#4	43.4	6.9		
Sidewalks &	20.1	12.7		
bike paths	63.5	19.6	43.9	3.75
#5	39.5	6.8		
Lighting	23.0	11.2		
pathway	62.5	18.0	44.5	3.73
#6	28.2	11.8		
Business	17.5	19.8		
park trail	45.7	31.6	14.1	3.23
#7	21.7	13.8		
Residences	13.4	32.6		
near river	35.1	46.4	-11.3	2.78

See Appendix A for tally of survey responses

Project-wise, three projects essentially tied with regard to raw scoring. Additional analysis resulted in them ultimately being prioritized as follows:

1. Continue to improve Granite Lake Public Park including retaining wall viewpoints, interpretive panels, path upgrades, landscaping and lighting throughout the park.
2. Provide outdoor lamp lighting along the existing greenbelt east to link park and green spaces.
3. Extend sidewalks and bike paths along Port Way and Port Drive.

A fourth project was also supported by the survey: Design and construct a recreational trail within the Port's new sustainable business park.



PARK HIERARCHY & STANDARDS – FRAMEWORK FOR NEEDS IDENTIFICATION

The following definitions and standards have been recognized by Asotin County in its parks and recreation plan adopted in 2007. For consistency, the Port of Clarkston has adopted the same definition and standards. Therefore, the following is extracted from the Asotin County Parks and Recreation Plan.

The park system, although owned and managed by various entities, can be classified into a hierarchy. The classification for this hierarchy is based upon the following:

1. The area of community served
2. The size of the park and/or facility
3. The type of recreation facilities provided within the park

The classification delineates the parks into the following types: regional parks, community parks, neighborhood parks, and special use areas.

A **regional park** is designed to serve the recreational needs of the entire county and environs, typically exceeds 100 acres in area, and offers a diversity of facilities, and possibly activities, intended to serve a broad spectrum of the public.

A **community park** is designed to serve the recreational needs of a city, ranges in size from 5 to 20 acres, and contains facilities too costly to provide within a neighborhood park, but are desirable to have somewhere in the community.

A **neighborhood park** is designed to serve the recreational needs of an individual neighborhood, is usually less than five acres in size, is central to the area served and should be located no further than a half mile from any home in the neighborhood, and contains playgrounds and open space for children and passive areas for adults.

A **special use area** is designed to serve specific and limited recreational needs, will vary in size and location depending upon the special use, and contains limited-use facilities, such as golf courses, ball field complexes and special hiking, biking or horse trails.

Parks Standards

Classification	Acres 1,000 people	Size Range	Population Served
Regional Parks	5.0	100+ acres	1 for each 50,000
Community Parks	2.5	20-100 acres	10,000 - 50,000
Neighborhood Parks	2.5	5-20 acres	2,000 - 10,000
Special Use Area	No set standards		

This classification of parks serves four purposes:

1. Recognizes that different parks serve different purposes.
2. Serves as an analytical tool to measure each park according to the purpose it should serve.
3. Helps guide the location of parks needed in the future.
4. Guides the type of user activity within specific existing or proposed parks.

INVENTORY OF EXISTING FACILITIES

The table below provides an inventory of the recreational facilities in Asotin County. The listing includes public parks, school grounds and quasi-public facilities. The school grounds are included as they function as de facto neighborhood parks in many areas. Examples of the quasi-public facility are golf courses and privately-owned facilities which provides a unique recreational opportunity for the paying public.

Public Facilities: Parks

Facility	Type Recreation	Owner/Maintainer	Acreage
Beachview	Open space, 3 covered picnic areas, tables, grills, water fountains, children's playground, skate park, volleyball court, 1/2 basketball court, lights	Clarkston	6.2 acres
Chestnut Beach	Restrooms, lights, swim beach, picnic area, view benches	Army Corps of Engineers	Part of greenbelt
Swallows Nest	Open areas, rest rooms, bike/hike path, volleyball court, gazebo, picnic tables/grills, lagoon, boat ramp, phones	Army Corps of Engineers	84 acres
Vernon	Open space, picnic tables, restrooms, play equipment	Clarkston	2.2 acres
Foster	Open space, playground equipment, restrooms	Clarkston	.76 acres
Arnold	Open space, playground equipment, ball field, 2 tennis courts	Clarkston	2.8 acres
Gateway	Open space, picnic tables	Clarkston	.3 acres
Granite Lake	Open space, restrooms, covered picnic tables, paths, recreational dock, interpretive garden	Port of Clarkston leased from Corps	6.7 acres
Asotin Creek	Open space, picnic shelters, gazebo, playground equipment, restrooms, horseshoe courts	City of Asotin, leased from Corps	1.9 acres
Hells Canyon Marina	Boat launch, long & short term boat moorage, fuel, pump station clean-out, tenant building	Corps to Port to private party	15.3 acres (includes underwater acreage)

Justice Memorial	Open space	Clarkston	.3 acres
Greenbelt Trail	Open space, bike/hike paths	Army Corps of Engineers	unknown
Chief Looking Glass	Open space, picnic tables, basketball court, volleyball, 2 tennis courts, defunct marina & boat launch (sedimentation issues)	City of Asotin, leased from Corps	5 acres
Headgate Park	Open Space	Asotin County	5.6 acres
Chief Timothy Park	Open space, RV parking, boat launch, beach, picnic shelters, restrooms	Private lease from Corps	282 acres
Field Springs State Park	Skiing, sledding, picnic areas	WA State Parks	800 acres

Public Facilities: Schools

Facility	Type Recreation	Owner/Maintainer	Acreage
Clarkston High	Baseball & football fields, track, 7 tennis courts, gym, auditorium	Clarkston School District	8 acres
Lincoln Middle	Football/soccer field, track, 2 softball fields, gym, batting area, outdoor lights	Clarkston School District	10.0 acres
Grantham Elementary	Playfield, ball field, playground equipment, multi-purpose rooms	Clarkston School District	4.4 acres
Parkway Elementary	Same as above	Clarkston School District	4.4 acres
Heights Elementary	Same as above	Clarkston School District	4.3 acres
Highland Elementary	Same as above plus 4 ball fields	Clarkston School District	7 acres
Holy Family Elementary	Multi-purpose room, playground equipment, basketball half-courts	Catholic Diocese	2.7 acres
Asotin High	Football field, track, 4 ball fields, gym	Asotin-Anatone School District	17.8 acres
Asotin Elementary	Playground, multi-purpose room	Asotin-Anatone School District	1.1 acres

Public Facilities: Miscellaneous

Facility	Type Recreation	Owner/Maintainer	Acreage
Asotin County Aquatic Center	Indoor/outdoor pools, weight room, meeting rooms	Asotin County	7 acres
Grande Ronde Boat Launch	Open space, boat launch	Bureau of Land Management	7.5 acres
Greenbelt Ramp	Open space, picnic tables, boat launch	Army Corps of Engineers	2.8 acres
Buffalo Eddy Interpretive Site	Petroglyphs, walking path	National Park Service	4.4 acres
Asotin County Library	Meeting room, computers, lending library, readings	Asotin County	.4 acres
Asotin County Branch Library	Lending library	Asotin County	1 acre
Asotin County Fairgrounds	Exhibit buildings, rodeo arena	Asotin County	15.2 acres

Quasi-Public Facilities (Privately-owned, public pays to use)

Facility	Type Recreation	Owner/Maintainer	Acreage
Clarkston Golf & Country Club	18-hole golf course, swim pool, pro shop, putting green	Clarkston Golf & County Club	56.2 acres
Quail Ridge Golf Course	18-hole golf course	Private ownership	114 acres
Gateway Golf Center	Driving range, putting course	Army Corps leased to Port leased to private party	4.2 acres
Bridge Street Connection	Bowling lanes, mini-golf course, casino, café, arcade	Private ownership	6.2 acres
Pautler Senior Center	Lunch programs, meeting rooms, tax help	Membership	.4 acres
Asotin County Gerontology Center	Varied programs	Membership	.2 acres
Clarkston Heights Grange Hall	Rental: auditorium, kitchen, computer room, meeting room, restrooms.	Clarkston Heights Grange	1.2 acres
Valley Boys & Girls Club (18 yrs & under)	Gym, game room, computer lab, playground	Boys & Girls Clubs	5 acres
Granite Lake RV Park	RV rental parking	Corps leases to Port who leases to private party	8.1 acres
Bridge Street RV Park	RV rental parking	Private ownership	unknown
Hells Canyon RV Park	RV rental parking, swimming pool, laundry amenities	Private ownership	5 acres
Hells Canyon Marina	Boat launch, long & short term boat moorage, fuel, pump station clean-out, tenant building	Corps to Port to private party	15.3 acres (includes underwater acreage)

A comparison of existing inventory to facility standards resulted in these findings:

Facility Standards & Deficiencies

Facility	Recommended Minimum Standards	Number Existing	Existing Facility Capacity	# Needed to meet Standards
Baseball fields	1 per 5,000 people	6	30,000	0
Softball fields	1 per 5,000 people	11	55,000	0
Soccer fields	1 per 10,000 people	2	20,000	1
Playfields	1.5 acres per 1,000	135	90,000	0
Playgrounds	1 per 3,000 people	11	33,000	0
Picnic Areas	1 per 2,000 people	11	22,000	0
Tennis Courts	1 per 2,000 people	15	30,000	0
Recreation Center	1 per 25,000 people	1	25,000	0

Swimming pool	1 per 10,000 people	3 (indoor & outdoor)	30,000	0
Walk/bike paths	1 mile per 2,000 people	9	18,400	1.5
Boat launch	N/A	3	N/A	N/A
Long & short term boat moorage	N/A	48	N/A	N/A

NOTE: The percentage of boat owners in Asotin County is higher than the percentage of boat owners in the average population in the State of Washington.

This chart indicates that no additional playfields are necessary. However, the existing ones are not evenly distributed. Many residential areas are not served by any recreational facilities or neighborhood parks. Although the above table does indicate an adequate amount of playground facilities, it does not recognize the deficiencies of neighborhood parks in the Clarkston Heights. Using the ½ mile proximity radius for neighborhood parks, the Recreational Service Area map indicates the need for five additional neighborhood parks within the Clarkston Heights vicinity.

Walking and bike paths, likewise, are along the river and away from populated areas. The community is very baseball and softball oriented, so while no fields are needed according to national standards, this schedule does not reflect community interest and needs in that regard.

Therefore, this Plan places greater emphasis on findings from surveys of community members rather than gaps in service based on national standards.



MISCELLANEOUS PUBLIC FEEDBACK

Key recreational needs identified from community participation include those listed on the attached matrix. The concepts are not listed in a particular order. The matrix also identifies the level of Port responsibility for the needs identified. The Port of Clarkston's primary mandate is job creation. Creating and maintaining recreational facility, while secondary, is important as well.

Issue	Port involvement?	Percentage of Port involvement
Install lighting in Granite Lake Park	Yes	100%
Create bike paths on Port Drive and Port Way	Yes	100%
Install lighting along Port-maintained trail system	Yes	100%
Install more seating at Granite Lake Park	Yes	100%
Build sidewalks on Port Way	Yes	100%
Build sidewalks on Port Drive	Yes	45%
Create more public art	Depends	Unknown
Install power on riverside of Granite Lake Park for outdoor events	Yes	100%
Improve skateboard park--Clarkston city project	Indirect	<10%
Fix marina at Hells Canyon Marina	Indirect	15%
Create better moorage facilities	Depends	Unknown
Add boating amenities (fuel, pump out, other) at Corps Greenbelt launch area	Indirect	<10%
Create gardens/more public friendly venue at Nave Pit	Indirect	Unknown
Dredge & rebuild marinas (Red Wolf Bridge, Asotin)	Indirect	<10%
Create off-leash dog park	Indirect	<10%
Create recreational opportunities within new sustainable business park	Yes	100%
Create a public shooting/archery range	Unlikely	N/A
Take steps to assure public access to the waterfront through land use planning, creating trails along the shoreline, and nearby parking	Yes	75%
Create floating docks in confluence of Snake and Clearwater Rivers	Depends	Unknown
Create a disc golf course	Depends	Unknown
Create more viewing areas and benches along the waterfront	Likely	Unknown
Extend bikeways and walkways to Chief Timothy Park (approximately 5 miles west of Clarkston)	Depends	Unknown
Create public art in Granite Lake Park	Yes	75%
Get rid of goose poop in the parks	Partially	Unknown
Get rid of stench from EKO	No	N/A
Create construction standards on Port owned land that don't allow steel sided buildings and pole barns	Partially	Unknown
Balance city and county budgets	No	None
Take advantage of the Northwest Water Discovery Trail by enhancing amenities on the Snake River west of the confluence	Primarily	Unknown
Encourage more restaurants on the waterfront	Depends	Unknown

SECTION 3: GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

GOALS: The following goals broadly describe the Port of Clarkston’s public recreation aspirations, as they fit into the Port’s larger economic development mission.

Goal A: Improve the quality of life within the Port jurisdictional boundaries by ensuring greater public access to and from the river and providing safe, healthful recreational opportunities for all.

Goal B: Incorporate public recreation and open space in the planning and design of Port projects where feasible.

Goal C: Incorporate this Parks and Recreation Plan into the next revision of the Port’s Comprehensive Plan, keeping the Comprehensive Plan a fluid document responsive to citizen input.

OBJECTIVES: The objectives listed below are the specific steps to be taken to achieve the goals listed above. Priority is to be given to projects for which the Port has significant responsibility. These objectives will translate into action items identified in the Port’s annual work plan/strategic plan.

Objective 1: Promote the availability of existing recreational opportunities² in the community through media and signage, on an on-going basis, in particular linking river traffic with community amenities.

Objective 2: Maintain existing Port recreational facilities for the enjoyment of the community on an on-going basis.

Objective 3: Seek additional opportunities that a) provide public access to the waterfront, b) develop bike and pedestrian trails, and c) create needed recreational amenities.

Objective 4: Assist other local, state and federal governmental entities in achieving their parks and recreation goals where invited and consistent with the Port’s mission.



² NOTE: Federal and state designated recreational opportunities in the immediate area such as the Hells Canyon National Recreation Area, Clearwater Snake National Recreational Trail, and the Northwest Water Discovery Trail are very unique opportunities and should be showcased.

SECTION 4: IMPLEMENTATION PLAN INCLUDING CAPITAL IMPROVE-MENT PLAN (CIP)

KEY PRIORITIES:

Priority #1: Make continued improvements to Granite Lake Park including but not limited to retaining wall viewing areas, interpretive panels, path upgrades, landscaping and lighting of pathways.

Priority #2: Install lighting along existing pathway east of Granite Lake Park to the Corps of Engineers administrative office

Priority #3: Continue creating or improving bike/pedestrian paths and sidewalks along Port-owned streets.

Priority #4: Design and construct a recreational trail feature in the Port of Clarkston's new sustainable business park.

Unprioritized projects requiring partnership with other entities (in no particular order) are:

- Skateboard park (City of Clarkston project)
- Marina and moorage improvements (Red Wolf Bridge, Asotin)
- Increased boater amenities (dump stations, diesel fueling station, other)
- Boat launch dredging and parking improvements (throughout jurisdiction)
- Acquire beaches south of Asotin (Asotin County)
- Bike trail enhancements to Chief Timothy Island (WSDOT, County)
- Playground and/or multi-use ball/badminton court for Granite Lake Park
- More paved parking/pervious parking near riverfront at Granite Lake Park, end of 13th street, and/or other appropriate locations

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) FORM

(Parks, Recreation, Habitat Facilities)

Agency/Dept.: Port of Clarkston
 Address: 849 Port Way
 City, Zip Code: Clarkston, WA 99403
 Phone: 509-758-5272

County: Asotin

Date of Adoption: June 9, 2010
 Resolution Number: 2010-07
 Completed by: Wanda Keefer
 Title: Port Manager

(1) Priority	(2) Project Name	(3) Fund Source	(4) Acq. Dev. Ren Rest	(5) Facility Type	(6) Estimated Project Implementation Cost Per Year					
					2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1a	Granite Lake Park Expansion	L, D, M	D	I, NPK	120,000		45,000			
1b	Improve Granite Lake Park	L, D, M	R	I, NPK	120,000	65,000	70,000			
2	Light existing pathways	L, D, M	R	NPK, OS		45,000	20,000			
3	Improve bike/pedestrian paths and sidewalks along Port-owned streets	L	D	TB, TP	50,000	25,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	55,000
4	Construct a recreational trail at Port's business park	U	D	OS			10,000	55,000	30,000	

Fund Source: L=local; D=donation; M=match; U=Unknown

Column 4: D=development; R=renovation

Facility Type: I=interpretive; NPK=neighborhood park; OS=open space; TB=trail, bicycle; TP=trail, pedestrian